englobe



July 30, 2025

Fleming College Sutherland Campus 599 Brealey Drive Peterborough, ON

Attention: Marriah Wickert - Manager, Health & Safety

Subject: Swab and Mould Spore-Trap Air and Sampling

Peterborough Campus - Washroom #1 and #2 Lindsay Campus - Room 159A (Wildlife Equipment)

Englobe Reference: 02412900.000

1 Introduction

Englobe Corporation (Englobe) has prepared this technical report to Fleming College (the Client), regarding the collection and analysis of project-specific swab (total coliform, E. coli, Enterococci) and mould spore-trap air sampling in select locations of the Peterborough Campus located at 599 Brealey Drive, Peterborough, Ontario and the Lindsay Campus located at 200 Albert Street South, Lindsay, Ontario.

2 Scope of Work

Englobe conducted the site visit on July 26 & July 30, 2025. As part of the site visit, Englobe collected one (1) clearance swab sample and a total of ten (10) mould spore trap samples. The scope of work included a visual inspection of the work areas as well as swab and mould air sampling. The samples were submitted to an accredited laboratory for RUSH 24-hour turnaround time.

3 Methodology

At the completion of remediation activities performed by A&O Contracting Inc., Englobe performed representative mould spore-trap air sampling from the following prescribed locations:

Peterborough Campus July 26, 2025

- Washroom #1,
- Washroom #2,
- Hallway, adjacent to Washrooms (Interior Reference Sample), and
- Parking lot, exterior of the building (Exterior Reference Sample).

Lindsay Campus July 26, 2025

- Storage Room 159A (Wildlife Equipment).
- Hallway, adjacent to Storage Room 159A (Interior Reference Sample), and
- Parking lot, exterior of the building (Exterior Reference Sample).

Lindsay Campus July 30, 2025

- Storage Room 159A (Wildlife Equipment).
- Hallway, adjacent to Storage Room 159A (Interior Reference Sample), and
- Parking lot, exterior of the building (Exterior Reference Sample).

Englobe collected representative mould spore-trap air samples using Air-o-Cell sampling cassettes, which are bioaerosol impact samplers. Airborne mould spore samples were collected using a pre-calibrated, constant flow, low volume (15 L/min) air sampling pump. The pump was used to direct a stream of air through the cassette and deposit particles and mould spores onto a substrate-coated microscope slide. Mould air samples were collected and placed in clean, plastic bags for delivery to an accredited, third-party laboratory for standard analysis. An outdoor reference sample was also collected for reference and comparative purposes.

Analysis of mould spore trap air samples was conducted by EMSL Analytical Inc.

Peterborough Campus July 26, 2025

At the completion of remediation activities performed by A&O Contracting Inc., Englobe collected representative swab samples for *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and Enterococci (a subgroup of Streptococci) commonly used as indicator bacteria for fecal/sewage contamination, from the following location:

Food Preparation Area:

Floor Surface

Swab sampling is a method of direct surface sampling. It involves wiping a surface with a swab, typically saturated with water or a sampling solvent, in order to remove residues from a surface. The swab is then desorbed, and a chemical analysis is performed on the desorbed material. Swab sampling can be used to detect organic and inorganic contaminants on different surfaces. The technique is most effective on smooth surfaces such non-porous, painted surfaces. The sample was collected and placed in clean, swab tube for delivery to EMSL Canada following *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and Enterococci (a subgroup of Streptococci) analysis.

4 Airborne Mould Spore Trap Sampling Results

A summary of mould spore-trap air sample results is provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Peterborough & Lindsay Campuses, July 26, 2025 Analytical Airborne Mould Spore Trap Sample Results									
Sample ID Location Total Spores Counts/m³ Microscopic Examination									
02412900.000- PTB-MIC-01	Washroom #1	3	120	Results considered acceptable for an indoor					
02412900.000- PTB-MIC-02	Washroom #2	3	120	building environment					

Table 1: Peterborough & Lindsay Campuses, July 26, 2025 Analytical Airborne Mould Spore Trap Sample Results									
Sample ID	Location	Total Spores	Total Counts/m ³	Microscopic Examination					
02412900.000- PTB-MIC-03	Interior Reference Sample Adjacent to Washrooms	0	None Detected	Interior Reference Sample					
02412900.000- PTB-MIC-04	Exterior Reference Sample Parking Lot	489	20510	Exterior Reference Sample					
02412900.000- LND-MIC-05	Storage Room 159A	34	1450	Results considered elevated mould spore type & count for (Stachybotrys/Memnoniella)					
02412900.000- LND-MIC-06	Interior Reference Adjacent to Storage Room 159A	11	480	Interior Reference Sample					
02412900.000- LND-MIC-07	Exterior Reference Sample Parking Lot	244	10300	Exterior Reference Sample					

Based on the presence of *Stachybotrys/Memnoniella* mould spore type and taking into consideration the total raw count analyzed (four (4) total spores) within sample 02412900.000-LND-MIC-05 collected within the Storage Room 159A of Lindsay Campus, Englobe provided A&O Contracting with recommendations which included re-cleaning areas with an antimicrobial solution and HEPA vacuuming.

Upon successful completion of re-cleaning, Englobe returned to the site on July 30, 2025 to perform clearance mould air sampling. Samples were submitted to accredited laboratory for rush 24-hour results, anticipated by July 31, 2025.

In Ontario, there are no regulatory exposure limits pertaining to airborne mould in the workplace. In lieu of numeric standards or regulations for the interpretation of mould spore trap air sampling, indoor mould spore concentrations were compared to the types and quantities of mould previously identified within the outdoor reference sample.

5 Direct Surface Swab Sampling Results

A summary of swab sample results is provided in Table 3.

Table 3: Peterborough Campus, July 26, 2025 Analytical Swab Sample Results									
Sample ID	Sample ID Location Presence of Total Coliforms Presence of Enterococci								
02412900.000- PTB-Swab-01	Food Preparation Area - Floor	Present	Absent	Absent					

In Ontario, there are no regulatory exposure limits pertaining to total coliforms, E. coli or Enterococci in the workplace. In lieu of numeric standards or regulations for the interpretation of direct surface swab sampling, general hygiene practices are encouraged regardless of analytical results.

The laboratory certificate of analysis for swab and mould spore trap air samples are included in Appendix A.

6 Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the mould air sampling results at the time of sampling, Lindsay Campus, Storage Room 159A (Wildlife Equipment) was found to contain spore types which in comparison to the reference samples may suggest re-cleaning may be warranted. It is Englobe's understanding that A&O Contracting is scheduled to re-clean the work area on July 30, 2025. At the request of the client, Englobe is scheduled to perform clearance mould air sampling on July 30, 2025 (results anticipated to be received by July 31, 2025).

Remaining areas tested, Peterborough Campus Washrooms 1 & 2 were found to be acceptable for indoor building environment, and in comparison, to the interior and exterior reference samples collected and analyzed, suggesting the remediation work performed was successful.

Based on the results of the swab sampling, at the time of sampling, floor surface (within the project-specific work area) tested in the Food Preparation Area (e.g., floor surface) were found to contain total coliforms however were found to be absent of E. coli and Enterococci, suggesting the remediation work performed may warrant further cleaning and/or investigation.

7 Closure

A Statement of Limitations section, which forms an integral part of this report, is attached as Appendix B.

We trust that the information contained herein meets your needs. Should you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours very truly,

Englobe Corp.

My

Ali Jamali laleh, B.Eng., EIT, WRT Environmental Scientist HHS, GTA & SWO

Steve March OH&S (dipl.), AMRT, WRT Operational Team Leader HHS, GTA & SWO

APPENDICES

Appendix A Laboratory Certificate of Analysis

Appendix B Statement of Limitations

Appendix A

Laboratory Certificate of Analysis



englobe



Attention: Steve March

EMSL Order: 552513241 **Customer ID:** 55DST80 **Customer PO:** 02412900.000

Project ID:

Phone: (519) 624-9804 **Fax:** (519) 624-5916

Collected Date: 07/26/2025

Received Date: 07/28/2025 09:25 AM

Analyzed Date: 07/28/2025

Project: 02412900.000

Englobe Corp

20 Carlson Court, Suite 300

Etobicoke, ON M9W 7K6

Test Report:Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Test Report:Air- Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L):	552513241-0002 02412900.000-PTB-MIC-01 75 Washroom #1			552513241-0003 02412900.000-PTB-MIC-02 75 Washroom #2			552513241-0004 02412900.000-PTB-MIC-03 75 Interior Reference - Hallway adj. to washroom		
Sample Location:									
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	- '	-	-	-	-	-
Ascospores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Basidiospores	2	80	66.7	2	80	66.7	-	-	-
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	1	40	33.3	1	40	33.3	-	-	-
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fusarium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fusicladium/Venturia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Polythrincium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Fungi	3	120	100	3	120	100	-	None Detect	-
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	42	-	-	42	-	-	42	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Background (1-5)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc.,RMCCM Laboratory Manager or other Approved Signatory

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/28/2025 03:32 PM



Attention: Steve March

EMSL Order: 552513241 **Customer ID:** 55DST80 **Customer PO:** 02412900.000

Project ID:

Phone: (519) 624-9804 **Fax:** (519) 624-5916

Collected Date: 07/26/2025

Received Date: 07/28/2025 09:25 AM

Analyzed Date: 07/28/2025

Project: 02412900.000

Englobe Corp

20 Carlson Court, Suite 300

Etobicoke, ON M9W 7K6

Test Report:Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L):	552513241-0005 02412900.000-PTB-MIC-04 75		552513241-0006 02412900.000-LND-MIC-05 75			552513241-0007 02412900.000-LND-MIC-06 75				
Sample Location:	Exterior	Reference - Parkin	g Lot	Storage Room 159A			Interior Reference (adj. to room 159A)			
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	2	80	0.4	1	40	2.8	- '	-	-	
Ascospores	36	1500	7.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	3	100	0.5	11	460	31.7	4	200	41.7	
Basidiospores	100(260)	11000	53.6	4	200	13.8	2	80	16.7	
Bipolaris++	1	10*	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	1	40	2.8	-	-	-	
Cladosporium	105(171)	7220	35.2	10	420	29	5	200	41.7	
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Epicoccum	2	80	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fusarium++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ganoderma	10	420	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Myxomycetes++	1	40	0.2	1	40	2.8	-	-	-	
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	1	40	2.8	-	-	-	
Rust	1	40	0.2	1	10*	0.7	-	-	-	
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	4	200	13.8	-	-	-	
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cercospora++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fusicladium/Venturia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nigrospora	1	10*	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Polythrincium	1	10*	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Fungi	489	20510	100	34	1450	100	11	480	100	
Hyphal Fragment	1	40	-	1	10*	-	-	-	-	
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	42	-	-	42	-	-	42	-	
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	
Background (1-5)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	1	-	

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc.,RMCCM Laboratory Manager or other Approved Signatory

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/28/2025 03:32 PM



Attention: Steve March

EMSL Order: 552513241 Customer ID: 55DST80 Customer PO: 02412900.000

Project ID:

Phone: (519) 624-9804 Fax: (519) 624-5916

Collected Date: 07/26/2025

Received Date: 07/28/2025 09:25 AM

Analyzed Date: 07/28/2025

Project: 02412900.000

Englobe Corp

20 Carlson Court, Suite 300

Etobicoke, ON M9W 7K6

4 41: 0 0:11/710 4 : 1 : 1: (M. (I. . I. MICDO COD COL ACTIA DECOL)

Test Report:Air- Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L):	-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particul 552513241-0008 02412900.000-LND-MIC-07 75 Exterior Reference - Parking Lot			ulates by Optic	al Microscopy (I	Methods MICR(O-SOP-201, AST	M D7391)	
Sample Location:									
Spore Types	Raw Count†	Count/m³	% of Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	4	200	1.9	-	-	-		-	-
Ascospores	28	1200	11.7	-		-			
Aspergillus/Penicillium++	2	80	0.8	-		-			
Basidiospores	88	3700	35.9	-		-			
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-		-			
Chaetomium++	-	-	-	-		-			
Cladosporium	101(109)	4600	44.7	-		-			
Curvularia	-	-	-	-		-			
Epicoccum	-	-	-	-		-			
Fusarium++	-	-	-	-		-			
Ganoderma	5	200	1.9	-		-			
Myxomycetes++	2	80	0.8	-		-			
Pithomyces++	1	40	0.4	-		-			
Rust	2	80	0.8	-		-			
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-		-			
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-		-			
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-		-			
Cercospora++	2	80	0.8						
Fusicladium/Venturia	1	40	0.4						
Nigrospora	-	-	-						
Polythrincium	-	-	-						
Total Fungi	244	10300	100						
Hyphal Fragment	2	80	-						
Insect Fragment	-	-	-						
Pollen	2	80	-						
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	42	-						
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-						
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-						
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-						
Background (1-5)	-	2	-						

† Due to method stopping rules, extrapolated raw counts are reported in parenthesis.

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc.,RMCCM Laboratory Manager or other Approved Signatory

EMSL Analytical, Inc. maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL Analytical, Inc. bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Skin Fragment and Fibrous Particulate ratings are based on the percent of non-fungal material they represent: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), or 4 (76-100%). Background ratings are based on the total area covered by non-fungal particles: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-99%), or 5 (100%; overloaded). High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. *** Denotes particles found at 300X. ** Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts >= 100 are extrapolated based on the

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON AIHA LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #196142

Initial report from: 07/28/2025 03:32 PM



EMSL Canada Inc.

2756 Slough Street, Mississauga, ON L4T 1G3

Phone/Fax: (289) 997-4602 / (289) 997-4607

http://www.EMSL.com torontolab@emsl.com CustomerPO: ProjectID:

55DST80 02412900.000

552513241

CustomerID:

EMSL Canada Or

Attn: Steve March **Englobe Corp** 20 Carlson Court, Suite 300 **Etobicoke, ON M9W 7K6**

Phone: (519) 624-9804 (519) 624-5916 Fax: Received: 7/28/2025 09:25 AM

Analysis Date: 7/29/2025 Collected: 7/26/2025

Project: 02412900.000

Test Report: Sewage Contamination in Buildings

Method: Modified SM 9223B, Modified ASTM Method D6503-99, and EMSL M117 for Swab Samples

Sample	Sampling Location Date/Time Collected	Total Coliform Present/Absent	E. coli Present/Absent	Enterococci Present/Absent
552513241-0001 02412900.000-PTB-Swab-01	Sewage flood clearance 7/26/2025	Present	Absent	Absent

Analyst(s)

Emily Degeus (1)

Sneha Panchal, M.Sc., RMCCM Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. Colliert® can detect coliforms at 1 CFU/swab after 24 hours of incubation. Colliert®-18 can detect coliforms at 1 CFU/swab analyzed after 18 hours of incubation; NA = not applicable. High levels (>2 million) of non-coliform bacteria may produce false-positive results.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Canada Inc. Mississauga, ON

Initial report from 07/29/2025 13:40:21

Appendix B

Statement of Limitations

STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This report (hereinafter, the "**Report**") was prepared by Englobe Corporation (hereinafter the "**Company**") and is provided for the sole and exclusive use and benefit of Fleming College (the "**Client**"). Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the Report belong to the Company.

No other person is authorized to rely on, use, copy, duplicate, reproduce or disseminate this Report, in whole or in part and for any reason whatsoever, without the express prior written consent of the Company. Any person using this Report, other than the person(s) to whom it is directly addressed, does so entirely at its own risk. The Company assumes no responsibility or liability in connection with decisions made or actions taken based on the Report, or the observations and/or comments contained within the Report. Others with interest in the site and/or subject matter of this Report should undertake their own investigations and studies to determine how or if they or their plans could be affected.

This Report should be considered in its entirety; selecting specific portions of the Report may result in the misinterpretation of the content.

The work performed by the Company was carried out in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the *Professional Services Agreement* between the Company and the Client, in accordance with currently accepted engineering standards and practices and in a manner consistent with the level of skill, care and competence ordinarily exercised by members of the same profession currently practicing under similar conditions and like circumstances in the same jurisdiction in which the services were provided. Standards, guidelines and practices may change over time; those which were applied to produce this Report may be obsolete or unacceptable at a later date.

The findings, recommendations, suggestions, or opinions expressed in this Report reflect the Company's best professional judgment based on observations and/or information reasonably available at the time the work was performed, as appropriate for the scope, work schedule and budgetary constraints established by the Client. No other warranty or representation, expressed or implied, is included in this Report including, but not limited to, that the Report deals with all issues potentially applicable to the site and/or that the Report deals with any and all of the important features of the site, except as expressly provided in the scope of work.

This Report has been prepared for the specific site, development, building, design or building assessment objectives and/or purposes that were described to the Company by the Client. The applicability and reliability of the content of this Report, subject to the limitations provided herein, are only valid to the extent that there has been no material alteration or variation thereto, and the Company expressly disclaims any obligation to update the Report. However, the Company reserves the right to amend or supplement this Report based on additional information, documentation or evidence made available to it.

The Company makes no representation concerning the legal significance of its findings, nor as to the present or future value of the property, or its fitness for a particular purpose and hereby disclaims any responsibility or liability for consequential financial effects on transactions or property values, or requirements for follow-up actions and costs.

Since the passage of time, natural occurrences, and direct or indirect human intervention may affect the views, conclusions and recommendations (if any) provided in this Report, it is intended for immediate use.

This Statement of Limitations forms an integral part of the Report.

The assessment should not be considered a comprehensive audit that covers and eliminates all present, past, and future risks. The information presented in this Report is based on data collected during the completion of the site assessment conducted. The overall site/building conditions were extrapolated based on information collected at specific sampling locations. Professional judgement was exercised in gathering and analyzing data; however, no sampling methodology can completely eliminate the possibility of obtaining partially imprecise or incomplete information; it can only reduce the possibility to an acceptable level. Consequently, the actual site/building conditions between the sampling points may vary. In addition, analysis has been carried out only for the parameters identified, and it should not be inferred that other hazardous materials are not present.

It is recommended practice that the Company be retained during subsequent phases of the project, to confirm that the conditions throughout the site do not deviate materially from those encountered throughout the sampling program.

Any description of the site and its physical setting documented in this Report is presented for informational purposes only, to provide the reader a better understanding of the site and scope of work.

Any results from a third-party laboratory or other subcontractors reported herein have been carried out by others, and the Company cannot warrant their accuracy.

This Report is based on the assumption that the design features relevant to our work will be in accordance with applicable codes, standards, and guidelines of practice and constructed substantially in accordance with the Report. If there are any changes to the site development or building construction features, or there is any additional information that was not otherwise available at the time the work was performed, the Company should be retained to review the implications thereof to the contents of this Report. The design recommendations expressed in this Report are applicable only to the project described therein.

No attempt was made to dismantle, inspect, or test existing equipment other than that which is specifically noted in the report.